

A REMARK ON FANO THREEFOLDS WITH CANONICAL GORENSTEIN SINGULARITIES

YURI G. PROKHOROV

ABSTRACT. We give some rationality constructions for Fano threefolds with canonical Gorenstein singularities.

1. INTRODUCTION

A normal projective variety X over $\mathbb{C}$ is called *Fano variety* if its anticanonical Weil divisor $-K_X$ is Cartier and ample. The number $(-K_X)^{\dim X}$ is called the *degree* of X .

In this paper we deal with Fano threefolds having at worst canonical Gorenstein singularities. The motivation to consider such Fanos is the following result due to Alexeev:

Theorem 1.1 ([A]). *Let Y be a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefold with terminal $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial singularities and Picard number 1. If the anticanonical model $\Phi_{|-K_Y|}(Y)$ is three-dimensional, then Y is birationally equivalent to a Fano threefold X with Gorenstein canonical singularities and base point free $|-K_X|$.*

Smooth Fano threefolds were classified by Iskovskikh and Mori-Mukai. If a Fano threefold X has only terminal Gorenstein singularities, then it has a smoothing (see [Na]), i.e., such X can be considered as a degeneration of a smooth ones. One can expect the same situation in the case of cDV singularities. In contrast, Fano threefolds with canonical non-cDV singularities are not necessarily smoothable:

Examples 1.2. (i) (Weighted projective spaces.) All weighted projective spaces $\mathbb{P}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ are $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fanos with only log terminal singularities and $\rho = 1$. It is easy to enumerate all these 3-dimensional spaces (up to isomorphisms) having at worst Gorenstein canonical singularities: $\mathbb{P}(4, 3, 3, 2)$, $\mathbb{P}(15, 10, 3, 2)$, $\mathbb{P}(4, 4, 3, 1)$, $\mathbb{P}(10, 5, 4, 1)$, $\mathbb{P}(21, 14, 6, 1)$, $\mathbb{P}(6, 3, 2, 1)$, $\mathbb{P}(12, 8, 3, 1)$, $\mathbb{P}(5, 2, 2, 1)$, $\mathbb{P}(2, 2, 1, 1)$, $\mathbb{P}(9, 6, 2, 1)$, $\mathbb{P}(4, 2, 1, 1)$, $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1) = \mathbb{P}^3$, $\mathbb{P}(6, 4, 1, 1)$, $\mathbb{P}(3, 1, 1, 1)$. For $X = \mathbb{P}(6, 4, 1, 1)$ and $\mathbb{P}(3, 1, 1, 1)$ we have $-K_X^3 = 72$ while there are no smooth Fano threefolds of degree 72.

(ii) (Cones) Let S be a del Pezzo surface of degree d with at worst Du Val singularities and let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_S(-K_S)$. Consider the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{O}_S \oplus \mathcal{L})$. The map $\mathbb{P} \rightarrow X$ given by the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(n)|$, $n \gg 0$ contracts the negative section. Since $-K_{\mathbb{P}} \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2)$, the variety X is a Fano threefold of

This work was carried out under the support of the grant INTAS-OPEN 2000-269.

index 2 and degree $8d$ with canonical Gorenstein singularities. For $S = \mathbb{P}^2$ we have $-K_X^3 = 72$ and $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(3, 1, 1, 1)$.

In this paper we propose a rationality construction for Fano threefolds such as in Theorem 1.1. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a Fano threefold of degree $-K_X^3 = 2g - 2$ with at worst canonical Gorenstein singularities. Assume that the linear system $| -K_X |$ is base point free and X has at least one non-cDV point. If X is not hyperelliptic, then X is rational except for two cases:*

(1.3.1) X is a quartic in $\mathbb{P}^4$, or

(1.3.2) X is an intersection of a quadric and a cubic in $\mathbb{P}^5$.

If X is hyperelliptic, then X is rational except perhaps for the following cases:

(1.3.3) *the anticanonical image of X is a projective cone over a surface degree $g - 1$ in $\mathbb{P}^g$, and X is birationally equivalent to a conic bundle, or*

(1.3.4) $g \leq 4$ and X is birationally equivalent to one of varieties (2.1.1)-(2.1.4) below.

Remark 1.4. (i) There are examples of varieties as in (1.3.1) and (1.3.2) which are rational as well as nonrational (see 3.5 and 3.7). The answer to the rationality question in these cases depends on delicate analysis of singular points.

(ii) Biregular theory of Fano threefolds with canonical Gorenstein singularities was developed by Mukai [Mu].

For the proof we study the projection from a non-cDV point using technique of [CF] and [A] (cf. [Ch]).

Acknowledgments. The work has been completed during my stay at Kyoto Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences. I would like to thank RIMS hospitality and support.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Notation. All varieties are assumed to be defined over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a linear system of Weil divisors on a normal variety. $\Phi_{\mathcal{H}}: X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\dim \mathcal{H}}$ denotes the corresponding rational map. By $\mathbb{P}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote the weighted projective space that is $\text{Proj } \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with grading $\deg x_i = a_i$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a locally free sheaf on X . Then $\mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E})$ is the projectivization $\text{Proj } \mathcal{E}^*$. The Picard number of a variety V is denoted by $\rho(V)$.

$\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefolds of index > 1 . Recall that a Fano threefold X is called a *del Pezzo threefold* if $X \not\cong \mathbb{P}^3$ and $-K_X = 2H$ for some ample Cartier divisor H . The number H^3 is called the *degree* of X . Everywhere below we will assume that all del Pezzo threefolds have at worst canonical Gorenstein singularities.

Theorem 2.1 ([CF]). *Let W be a $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial threefold with at worst terminal singularities and $\rho(X) = 1$ and let $H \subset W$ be a smooth del Pezzo surface contained into the smooth locus of X . Assume that W is not rational. Then (W, H) is one of the following:*

(2.1.1) $W = W_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 2, 3)$ is a weighted hypersurface of degree 6, $H \in |\mathcal{O}(2)|$, $\dim |H| = 4$,

(2.1.2) W is a smooth cubic in $\mathbb{P}^4$, $H \in |-\frac{1}{2}K_W|$, $\dim |H| = 4$,

(2.1.3) W is a del Pezzo threefold of degree 2, $H \in |-\frac{1}{2}K_W|$, $\dim |H| = 3$,

(2.1.4) W is a del Pezzo threefold of degree 1, $H \in |-\frac{1}{2}K_W|$, $\dim |H| = 2$.

It is known that general (and even all smooth) members of families (2.1.3), (2.1.4), and all members of the family (2.1.2) are nonrational (see [Be] and [CG]).

Canonical singularities. A normal three-dimensional singularity $X \ni o$ is said to be *cDV* if it is analytically isomorphic to a hypersurface singularity $f(x, y, z) + tg(x, y, z, t) = 0$, where $f(x, y, z) = 0$ is an equation of a Du Val singularity. All cDV singularities are canonical (and Gorenstein).

Theorem 2.2 ([R2]). *If $X \ni o$ is a cDV point, then the discrepancy of every prime divisor with center at o is strictly positive. Conversely, if $X \ni o$ is a canonical three-dimensional singularity such that the discrepancy of every prime divisor with center at o is strictly positive, then $X \ni o$ is a cDV point. In particular, any threefold with canonical singularities has only finitely many non-cDV points.*

Singularities of linear systems. Let X be a normal variety and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a movable linear system (of Weil divisors) on X . Assume that $K_X + H$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier for $H \in \mathcal{H}$. For any good (but fixed) resolution $f: Y \rightarrow X$ of the pair $(X, \mathcal{H})$ we can write

$$K_Y + \mathcal{H}_Y = f^*(K_X + \mathcal{H}) + \sum_E a(E, \mathcal{H})E,$$

where E in the sum runs through all exceptional divisors, $\mathcal{H}_Y$ is the birational transform of $\mathcal{H}$, and $a(E, \mathcal{H}) \in \mathbb{Q}$. Here and below in numerical formulas we easily write linear system $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{H}_Y$ etc. instead of their members. We say that $(X, \mathcal{H})$ has *terminal* (resp. *canonical*) singularities if $a(E, \mathcal{H}) > 0$ (resp. $a(E, \mathcal{H}) \geq 0$) for all E .

Proposition 2.3 ([A]). *If the pair $(X, \mathcal{H})$ is terminal and members of $\mathcal{H}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier, then $\mathcal{H}$ has at worst isolated base points P_i such that $\text{mult}_{P_i} \mathcal{H} = 1$. In particular, X is smooth at P_i and $\mathcal{H}$ is a linear system of Cartier divisors.*

In the category of three-dimensional terminal $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial pairs the log minimal model program works [A]. In particular, we have the following.

Proposition-Definition 2.4. *Let X be a normal threefold and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a movable linear system on X . Assume that $K_X + \mathcal{H}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. Then there is a birational contraction $f: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $(Y, \mathcal{H}_Y)$ has only terminal singularities and $K_Y + \mathcal{H}_Y$ is f -nef, where $\mathcal{H}_Y$ is the birational transform of $\mathcal{H}$. Such f is called a relative terminal model of $(X, \mathcal{H})$. In this situation we can write*

$$K_Y + \mathcal{H}_Y = f^*(K_X + \mathcal{H}) - \sum a_i E_i,$$

where $a_i \geq 0$ and the E_i are exceptional divisors.

Anticanonical linear system. By Riemann-Roch we have the following.

Lemma 2.5. *Let X be a Fano threefold with at worst canonical Gorenstein singularities. Then $\dim | -K_X | = -\frac{1}{2}K_X^3 + 2$.*

Denote $g = -\frac{1}{2}K_X^3 + 1$. This integer is called the *genus* of X . Thus

$$-K_X^3 = 2g - 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \dim | -K_X | = g + 1.$$

Theorem 2.6 ([R1]). *Let X be a Fano threefold with at worst canonical Gorenstein singularities. Then the pair $(X, | -K_X |)$ has only canonical singularities.*

Anticanonical models. Similar to the nonsingular case (see [Is]) one can prove the following.

Proposition 2.7. *Let X be a Fano threefold of genus g with at worst canonical Gorenstein singularities and let $\Phi = \Phi_{|-K_X|}: X \dashrightarrow \overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ be the anticanonical map, where $\overline{X} = \Phi(X)$. Then $\dim \overline{X} \geq 2$ and $\dim \overline{X} = 2$ if and only if $\text{Bs} | -K_X | \neq \emptyset$. If $\text{Bs} | -K_X | = \emptyset$, then one of the following holds:*

- (i) $\Phi: X \rightarrow \overline{X}$ is a double covering, in this case $\overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ is a variety of degree $g - 1$, or
- (ii) $\Phi: X \rightarrow \overline{X}$ is an isomorphism.

Remark 2.8. In case (i) the Fano variety X is called *hyperelliptic*. Here $\overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ is a so-called *variety of minimal degree*. According to the well-known theorem of Enriques $\overline{X}$ is one of the following:

- (i) the image of a $\mathbb{P}^2$ -bundle $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_3))$, where $a_i \geq 0$, $\sum a_i > 0$ under the morphism defined by the linear system $|\mathcal{O}(1)|$, $g = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 1$,
- (ii) $\overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ is a smooth quadric, $g = 3$,
- (iii) $\overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ is a cone over the Veronese surface, $g = 5$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Let X be a Fano threefold with only canonical Gorenstein singularities of genus g such that the anticanonical linear system $|-K_X|$ is base point free and let $\Phi = \Phi_{|-K_X|}: X \rightarrow \overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ be the morphism defined by the anticanonical linear system. Assume that X has at least one non-cDV point $o \in X$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_o \subset |-K_X|$ be the subsystem of all divisors containing o . It is clear that $\dim \mathcal{H} = g$ and $\text{Bs } \mathcal{H} = \Phi^{-1}\Phi(o)$. In particular, $\mathcal{H}$ has no fixed components. Moreover, the image of the map $\Phi_{\mathcal{H}}$ coincides with the image of the projection of $\overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ from the point $\Phi(o)$.

Claim 3.1. $\dim \Phi_{\mathcal{H}}(X) \geq 2$ and $\dim \Phi_{\mathcal{H}}(X) = 2$ if and only if $\overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ is a projective cone over the surface $\Phi_{\mathcal{H}}(X)$

Proof. Obvious. □

Claim 3.2. If X is not hyperelliptic, then $\dim \Phi_{\mathcal{H}}(X) = 3$.

Proof. Assume that Φ is an isomorphism and $\dim \Phi_{\mathcal{H}}(X) = 2$. Then $\overline{X}$ is a projective cone over a K3 surface. But in this case the vertex of the cone $X = \overline{X}$ is not a canonical singularity (see, e.g., [R2, 2.14]), a contradiction. □

3.3. Consider the case $\dim \Phi_{\mathcal{H}}(X) = 3$. Let $f: (\hat{X}, \hat{\mathcal{H}}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{H})$ be a relative terminal model. Thus the pair $(\hat{X}, \hat{\mathcal{H}})$ has only terminal singularities and

$$K_{\hat{X}} + \hat{\mathcal{H}} = f^*(K_X + \mathcal{H}) - E,$$

where $E = \sum a_i E_i$ is an integral effective Weil divisor. Since $(X, \mathcal{H})$ is not canonical, $E \neq 0$ (see Theorem 2.2). Run $K_{\hat{X}} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}$ -MMP: $(\hat{X}, \hat{\mathcal{H}}) \dashrightarrow (W, \mathcal{H}_W)$. It is clear that $K_{\hat{X}} + \hat{\mathcal{H}} \equiv -E$, so our MMP is the same as $-E$ -MMP. At the end we get a fiber type contraction $h: W \rightarrow Z$ which is E_W -positive, where E_W is the birational transform of E . Indeed, $K_W + \mathcal{H}_W \equiv -E_W$ cannot be nef. Note that the image $\Phi_{\mathcal{H}_W}(W) = \Phi_{\mathcal{H}}(X)$ is three-dimensional, so $\mathcal{H}_W$ is not a pull-back of a linear system on Z . Thus $\mathcal{H}_W$ is positive on the fibers of h . Since $(W, \mathcal{H}_W)$ is terminal, the linear system $\mathcal{H}_W$ has at most nonsingular isolated base points of multiplicity 1 (see Proposition 2.3). In particular, $\mathcal{H}_W$ is a linear system of Cartier divisors. If Z is not a point, then h is either a generically $\mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathbb{P}^2$, or $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle and X is rational (see, e.g., [A]). If Z is a point, then W is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano with $\rho = 1$. Let $H \in \mathcal{H}_W$ be a general member. Then H is a smooth surface and by the Adjunction Formula $-K_H$ is ample, i.e., H is a del Pezzo surface. Assuming that W is nonrational from Campana-Flenner's Theorem 2.1 we get cases (2.1.1)-(2.1.4). So, $g = \dim \mathcal{H} \leq \dim |\mathcal{H}_W| \leq 4$, where $|\mathcal{H}_W|$ is the complete linear system generated by $\mathcal{H}_W$. Hence in case (2.1.4) we have $g = 2$ and the variety X is hyperelliptic. From classical results on K3 surfaces we get that X is either a quartic or a complete intersection of a quadric and a cubic (cf. [Is]). This proves Theorem 1.3 in the case $\dim \Phi_{\mathcal{H}}(X) = 3$.

If $\dim \Phi_{\mathcal{H}}(X) = 2$, then we can use the same arguments. The only difference is that $\mathcal{H}_W$ can be a pull-back of a linear system on Z . This is possible when $\dim Z = 2$, i.e., when $h: W \rightarrow Z$ is a conic bundle. Then we get case (1.3.3). Theorem 1.3 is proved.

Corollary 3.4. *In cases (1.3.1) and (1.3.2) the variety X is unirational.*

Proof. Follows by the fact that all varieties in (2.1.1)-(2.1.3) are unirational (see [CF], [Ma, Ch. 4], and also [IP, §10.1]). $\square$

Example 3.5. Consider the quartic threefold X defined by $x_0^2 x_4^2 + \phi(x_1, \dots, x_4) = 0$ in $\mathbb{P}^4$, where $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_4)$ is a sufficiently general homogeneous polynomial of degree 4. By Bertini theorem the only singularity of X is the point $P = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$. It is easy to see that P is canonical and is not cDV (see [R2]). Therefore, X satisfies conditions of Theorem 1.3. Clearly, X is birationally equivalent to the weighted hypersurface $x_0^2 + \phi(x_1, \dots, x_4) = 0$ in $\mathbb{P}(2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$, i.e., a nonsingular del Pezzo threefold of degree 2. The last variety is known to be nonrational (see [Be]).

On the other hand, a quartic with a single triple point is obviously rational.

We give a more complicated example of a rational quartic.

Example 3.6. Consider the quartic X given by $x_0^2 x_4^2 + x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 = 0$ in $\mathbb{P}^4$. This X has two non-cDV points. The map

$$X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3, \quad (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \dashrightarrow (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$$

is generically finite of degree 2. This shows that X is birationally equivalent to del Pezzo threefold X' of degree 2 that is a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^3$ branched along the quartic $(x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 = 0) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. Since X' has a non-cDV point, it is rational (see Lemma 4.6 below).

Example 3.7. Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be a cone over a cubic threefold T having at worst isolated double points, let Q be a sufficiently general quadric passing through the vertex P , and let $X = V \cap Q$. Then X satisfies conditions of Theorem 1.3. The projection from P induces a birational isomorphism between X and T . Thus X is rational if and only if T is smooth [CG].

4. HYPERELLIPTIC CASE

In this section we study hyperelliptic Fano threefold.

Notation. Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_3)$, where $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3 \geq 0$. Consider the scroll $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{W}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E})$. Let M be the tautological divisor and let F be a fiber of the projection $\pi: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. In this notation, $-K_{\mathbb{W}} = 3M + (2 - \sum a_i)F$. The linear system $|M|$ is base point free and defines a morphism $\varphi: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{W}} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\sum a_i + 2}$. It is easy to see that $\deg \overline{\mathbb{W}} = \sum a_i$.

4.1. Let X be a hyperelliptic Fano threefold of genus g with at worst canonical Gorenstein singularities and let $\Phi: X \rightarrow \overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ be the anticanonical morphism. Let $B \subset \overline{X}$ be the branch divisor. By the ramification formula we have

$$(4.2) \quad K_X \sim \Phi^* \left(K_{\overline{X}} + \frac{1}{2}B \right),$$

where $\frac{1}{2}B$ is a class of an integral Weil divisor. Therefore, $-(K_{\overline{X}} + \frac{1}{2}B)$ is linearly equivalent to a hyperplane section L of $\overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^{g+1}$. Thus

$$B \sim 2(-K_{\overline{X}} - L).$$

4.3. According to Proposition 2.7 and Remark 2.8 we can distinguish the following possibilities:

$$(4.3.1) \quad g = 2, \overline{X} = \mathbb{P}^3 \text{ and } B \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(6),$$

$$(4.3.2) \quad g = 3, \overline{X} = Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4 \text{ is a (possibly singular) quadric, } B \sim \mathcal{O}_Q(4),$$

$$(4.3.3) \quad g = 5, \overline{X} = V_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^6 \text{ is a Veronese cone, } B \sim \mathcal{O}_{V_4}(3),$$

$$(4.3.4) \quad \overline{X} = \mathbb{W}(a_1, a_2, a_3), \text{ where } a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3 \geq 1, g = \sum a_i + 1 \geq 4, B \sim 2(2M + (3 - g)F),$$

$$(4.3.5) \quad \overline{X} = \overline{\mathbb{W}(a_1, a_2, 0)}, \text{ where } a_1 \geq a_2 \geq 1, g = a_1 + a_2 + 1 \geq 4, B = \varphi(B'), \text{ where } B' \sim 2(2M + (3 - g)F),$$

$$(4.3.6) \quad \overline{X} = \overline{\mathbb{W}(g - 1, 0, 0)}, \text{ where } g \geq 4, B \sim 2(g + 1)P, \text{ where } P \text{ is the class of a plane on } \overline{\mathbb{W}} \subset \mathbb{P}^{g+1}.$$

Remark 4.4. Similar to [Is, Th. 2.2] one can get a complete classification of hyperelliptic Fano threefolds. Indeed, by (4.2) the pair $(\overline{X}, \frac{1}{2}B)$ is klt and discrepancies of $(\overline{X}, \frac{1}{2}B)$ are contained in $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. This fact gives us very strong restrictions on (a_1, a_2, a_3) . For example, in case (4.3.6) the singularity of $\overline{X}$ along the vertex of the cone is locally isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{g-1}(1, 1) \times \mathbb{C}$. Since $\text{discr}(\overline{X}) \geq \text{discr}(\overline{X}, \frac{1}{2}B) \geq -1/2$, we have $-1 + 2/(g - 1) \geq -1/2$, so $g \leq 5$. We get only two possibilities: $\overline{X}$ is a weighted hypersurface $W_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 3, 3, 5)$ or $W_{12} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 4, 4, 6)$.

The following proposition is very easy but the author could not find a reference.

Proposition 4.5. *Let X be a Fano threefold with at worst canonical Gorenstein singularities. If X is hyperelliptic, then X is unirational except perhaps for cases (4.3.1) and (4.3.3).*

Proof. We consider only cases (4.3.4) and (4.3.5). Other cases are similar. Then $\varphi: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \overline{X}$ is either an isomorphism or a small morphism (contracting

a section). We have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xleftarrow{\Psi} & Y & & \\
 \Phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi & \searrow \psi & \\
 \overline{X} & \xleftarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{W} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{P}^1
 \end{array}$$

where Y is the normalization of the dominant component of $X \times_{\overline{X}} \mathbb{W}$. The morphism Ψ does not contract divisors. Hence, $K_Y = \Psi^* K_X$ and Y has only canonical Gorenstein singularities.

Denote by B' the birational transform of B on $\mathbb{W}$. Then

$$B' \sim 2(2M + (3 - g)F).$$

Since $F \cap B' \neq \emptyset$ for any fiber $\pi^{-1}(\text{pt})$, the restriction $\phi \circ \phi^{-1}(F): \phi^{-1}(F) \rightarrow F$ is not étale. Hence all fibers $\phi^{-1}(F) = \psi^{-1}(\pi(F))$ are connected. Now one can see that the general fiber Y_η is a del Pezzo surface with at worst Du Val singularities. Further,

$$K_{Y_\eta}^2 = K_Y^2 \cdot Y_\eta = 2 \left(K_{\mathbb{W}} + \frac{1}{2} B' \right)^2 \cdot F = 2.$$

Therefore, $\psi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a (possibly singular) del Pezzo fibration of degree 2. In this case Y is unirational because so is the general fiber over a non-closed field $K(\mathbb{P}^1)$ (see [Ma, Ch. 4], [CT]). $\square$

Double Veronese cone. Now we study Fano threefolds of type (4.3.3). We show that a nonrational Fano threefold from (1.3.3) or (1.3.4) cannot be of this type. Note that in this case X is isomorphic to a weighted hypersurface $W_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2, 3)$ and $-K_X \sim \mathcal{O}_{W_6}(2)$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{W_6}(1)$ is invertible, X is a del Pezzo threefold of degree 1.

Lemma 4.6. *Let X be a del Pezzo threefold of degree d (with at worst canonical Gorenstein singularities). Assume either $\rho(X) > 1$ or singularities of X are worse than terminal factorial (= isolated cDV). Then X is birationally equivalent to $\mathbb{P}^3$ or a del Pezzo threefold of degree $d' > d$ (again with at worst canonical Gorenstein singularities). Moreover, if X has a non-cDV point, then X is rational.*

Proof. Consider $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal modification $f: Y \rightarrow X$. Thus Y has at worst factorial terminal singularities and $-K_Y = 2H$, where H is a nef and big Cartier divisor. Let $h: Y \rightarrow Z$ be a K -negative extremal contraction. By our assumption Z is not a point. If Z is a curve or a surface, then as in 3.3 we have that h is either a generically $\mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathbb{P}^2$, or $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle. In these cases Y is rational.

Thus we may assume that h is birational. By the classification of extremal rays on Gorenstein terminal threefolds [Cu] we have only one possibility: h contracts a divisor E to a point P . Let $H \in |H|$ be a general member and

let $H_Z = h(H)$. We claim that the point $P \in Z$ is smooth. Indeed, H_Z is normal outside of P . Since the point P is terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial, H_Z is normal also at P . On the other hand, the contraction $h|_H: H \rightarrow H_Z$ is K_H -negative. In this situation the point $\phi(H \cap E) \in H_Z$ must be smooth. This implies that so is $P \in Z$. Again by [Cu] h is the blow-up of P and $K_Y = h^*K_Z + 2E$. Thus $-K_Z = 2H_Z$, where H_Z is a nef and big Cartier divisor, and $H_Z^3 = H^3 + 1$. If $\rho(Z) > 1$, we can repeat procedure replacing Y with Z . Since $H^3 \leq 9$ the procedure terminates and we get a nonbirational contraction. This proves the first part of the lemma.

To prove the second part we assume that X has a non-cDV point, say P . Then there is a two-dimensional component $S \subset f^{-1}(P)$. For any curve $\Gamma \subset S$ we have $K_Y \cdot \Gamma = 0$. Therefore, $S \cap E = \emptyset$ and $h(S)$ again satisfies the above property. This shows that after birational contractions we cannot obtain a model with $\rho = 1$. Hence X is rational. $\square$

Corollary 4.7. *Let X be a hyperelliptic Fano variety of type (4.3.3). If X has a non-cDV point, then it is rational. If either X has a nonterminal singularity or is not $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial, then it is unirational.*

In conclusion we give an example of a nonrational hyperelliptic Fano threefold such as in (1.3.3).

Example 4.8. Let X be following weighted hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, 3)$:

$$x_0^2(x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4) + x_1^6 + x_2^6 + x_3^6 = x_4^2.$$

The only singularity of X is at the point $P = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$. In the affine chart $(x_0 \neq 0)$ this singularity is given by

$$(4.9) \quad x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 + x_1^6 + x_2^6 + x_3^6 = x_4^2.$$

It is easy to see that $P \in X$ is a hypersurface canonical non-cDV singularity. By Adjunction $-K_X \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ and $-K_X^3 = 2$. Therefore, X is a hyperelliptic Fano threefold of genus 2. The projection

$$X \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, 3) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2, \quad (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \dashrightarrow (x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

gives us a structure of fibration into rational curves. Now let $f: \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ be the weighted blowup of P with weights $(1, 1, 1, 2)$ in the affine chart $(x_0 \neq 0)$ (see (4.9)). Then $\hat{X}$ is smooth and (in notation of 3.3) $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is base point free. We get a conic bundle $h: \hat{X} = W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 = Z$. The discriminant curve is given by the equation $(x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4)(x_1^6 + x_2^6 + x_3^6) = 0$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$. Therefore, X is nonrational (see [Sh]).

REFERENCES

- [A] Alexeev V. *General elephants of $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds*, Compositio Math. **91** (1994) 91–116
- [Be] Beauville A. *Variétés de Prym et jacobiniennes intermédiaires*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. (4) **10** (1977) 309–391

- [CF] Campana F., Flenner H. *Projective threefolds containing a smooth rational surface with ample normal bundle*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **440** (1993) 77–98
- [Ch] Cheltsov I. *On the rationality of non-Gorenstein $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds with an integer Fano index*, Birational algebraic geometry (Baltimore, MD, 1996), 43–50, Contemp. Math., **207**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997
- [CG] Clemens C. H., Griffiths P. A. *The intermediate Jacobian of the cubic threefolds*, Ann. Math. **95** (1972) 281–356
- [CT] Colliot-Thélène J.-L. *Arithmétique des variétés rationnelles et problèmes birationnels*, Proc. Int. Congr. Math., Berkeley/Calif. 1986, Vol. **1**, 641–653 (1987)
- [Cu] Cutkosky S. *Elementary contractions of Gorenstein threefolds*, Math. Ann. **280** (1988) 521–525
- [Is] Iskovskikh V. A. *Anticanonical models of three-dimensional algebraic varieties*, Current problems in mathematics, VINITI, Moscow, **12** (1979) 59–157 [English transl.: J. Soviet Math. **13** (1980) 745–814]
- [IP] Iskovskikh V. A., Prokhorov Yu. G. *Fano Varieties*, *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences* **47**, *Algebraic Geometry V*, eds. A. N. Parshin and I. R. Shafarevich, Springer-Verlag, 1999
- [Ma] Manin Yu. I. *Cubic Forms. Algebra, Geometry, Arithmetic*. Nauka. (1972) [English transl.: North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. **4**. North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford]
- [Mu] Mukai S. *Biregular classification of Fano threefolds*, The Fano Conference, Torino, 2002
- [Na] Namikawa Y. *Smoothing Fano 3-folds*, J. Algebraic Geom. **6** (1997) 307–324
- [R1] Reid M. *Projective morphisms according to Kawamata*, Univ. of Warwick. Preprint (1983)
- [R2] Reid M. *Canonical 3-folds*, Journées de géométrie algébrique, Angers/France 1979, 273–310 (1980)
- [Sh] Shokurov V.V. *Prym varieties: Theory and applications*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **47** (1983) 785–855 [English transl.: Math. USSR, Izv. **23** (1984) 93–147]

DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW STATE LOMONOSOV UNIVERSITY, LENINSKIE GORY, 117234 MOSCOW, RUSSIA

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

E-mail address: prokhorov@mech.math.msu.su